

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/573,051	03/22/2006	Bernard Hendrik Reesink	4872	8981	
48227 BASF CATAL	7590 07/22/201 YSTS LLC	EXAMINER			
100 CAMPUS	DRIVE	SINGH, PREM C			
FLORHAM PA	ARK, NJ 07932		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1771		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/22/2011	ELECTRONIC	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTONotices@basf.com linda.komorowski@basf.com sonny.nkansa@basf.com

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/573,051	REESINK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
PREM C. SINGH	1771	

	PREM C. SINGH	1771						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 14 July 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
1. More reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filling a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFF 4.1.31; or (3) a Request								
for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
<ul> <li>a)  The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date</li> <li>b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A</li> </ul>		in the final rejection, whi	chever is later. In					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire it  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (  MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.					
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee					
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any semed patent ferm adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since</li> </ol>								
Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	thin the time period set forth in 37	CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS	and a second section of the second section is							
	<ol> <li>Interproposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filling a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>							
They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or		ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.						
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1			DTOL 204)					
<ol> <li>The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12</li> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> </ol>		mpilant Amendment (	PTOL-324).					
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejectabilitys:      Mewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment can non-allowable claim(s).								
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided in the control of the c		l be entered and an e	xplanation of					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	.,,							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: 25-47.								
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	before or on the date of filling a ble	sting of Appeal will not	be entered					
<ol> <li>The amount of other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a					
<ol> <li>☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.					
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by Applicant's arguments are based on non-entered propose those in the Applicant's Response filed: 03/08/2011 and	ed amendment to the claim(s). In o	eneral, the argument	s are similar to					
and 16.  12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).								
13. Other:								
	/PREM C SINGH/							

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1771

Application No.

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Applicant's proposed amendment to independent claim 25 by modifying "nickel adsorbent" to "sulfided nickel adsorbent" narrows the scope of the claim(s) and requires further consideration and/or search.